

2019

**PESTICIDES
RESIDUES
IN FOOD**



**An Roinn Talmhaíochta,
Bia agus Mara**
Department of Agriculture,
Food and the Marine

www.agriculture.gov.ie

[@agriculture_ie](https://twitter.com/agriculture_ie)

Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine

**Report of the National Pesticide Residues Control
Programme**

2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SUMMARY REPORT	1
2.	BACKGROUND	4
3	PLANNING THE PROGRAMME	6
3.1	Programme design	6
4	SAMPLING	8
4.1	Food of plant origin	8
4.2	Food of animal origin	9
4.3	Infant formula	9
5	TESTING FOR PESTICIDE RESIDUES	10
5.1	Analytical procedures	10
5.2	Quality assurance	14
6	RESULTS	15
6.1	Summary of the analytical results	15
6.2	Key findings of the fruit and vegetable sample results	19
6.3	Key findings of the cereal sample results	20
6.4	Key findings of the food of animal origin sample results	21
6.5	Key findings of baby food sample results	22
6.6	Key findings of targeted and follow up sample results	24
6.7	Key findings of import control sample results	25
7	MRL BREACHES	26
7.1	Types of breaches	26
7.2	Risk Assessments	28
8	ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS	30
8.1	Enforcement actions on domestic samples	30
8.2	Enforcement actions on imported samples	30
8.3	Concluding remarks	32
9	ANNEXES	33
9.1	ANNEX I Scopes and Reporting Level (mg/kg) of the analytical methods used	33
9.2	ANNEX II Abbreviations	46
9.3	ANNEX III Glossary of terms	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary results of fruit samples	16
Table 2: Summary of fruit samples taken in the surveillance programme.....	17
Table 3: Summary results of vegetable, fungi and other plant product samples.....	18
Table 4: Summary of vegetable, fungi and other plant product samples taken in the surveillance programme	19
Table 5: Summary results of cereal samples.....	20
Table 6: Summary of cereal samples taken in the surveillance programme	20
Table 7: Summary results of food of animal origin samples	21
Table 8: Summary of food of animal origin samples taken in the surveillance programme.....	21
Table 9: Summary results of baby food samples	22
Table 10: Summary of baby food samples taken in the surveillance programme	22
Table 11: Summary results of targeted and follow up enforcement samples	23
Table 12: Summary of targeted and follow up samples taken in the enforcement programme	23
Table 13: Summary results of import control samples	25
Table 14: Summary of import control samples taken in the enforcement programme	25
Table 15: Summary of all food types with residues and MRL breaches in 2019	26
Table 16: Details of the MRL breaches in 2019	27

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: A wide range of food samples are targeted and sampled for pesticide residue analysis.	1
Figure 2: The Pesticide Residues Laboratory is part of the Agrilabs Building at Backweston Campus.	2
Figure 3: The application of plant protection products to a growing crop.....	4
Figure 4: Department of Agriculture officer collecting fruit samples for pesticide residue analysis. ...	5
Figure 5: Pesticide Control Laboratory with liquid chromatographic systems for sample analysis.	6
Figure 6: The monitoring programme covers several food groups: fruit and vegetables, cereals, food of animal origin and baby food.	7
Figure 7: Department of Agriculture officer tagging fruit samples as part of the enforcement sampling strategy.	8
Figure 8: Feeding time with baby infant formula.	9
Figure 9: Lettuce sample prior to chopping and blending.	10
Figure 10: Chopped oranges in dry ice prior to blending and packaging.	10
Figure 11: Frozen laboratory samples.....	11
Figure 12: Sample material following the first chemical extraction, ready for clean-up steps.....	11
Figure 13: Glass vials containing samples for automated injection onto analytical equipment.	12
Figure 14: Sample chromatograms being compared with pesticide standards.	12
Figure 15: Residue identification and quantitation.	13
Figure 16: State-of-the-art advanced facilities are available in the Pesticide Control Laboratory such as accurate, high resolution mass spectroscopy.	13
Figure 17: The monitoring system ensures that food produced in the EU is safe for consumers to eat.	14
Figure 18: Signing off on results.	15

1. SUMMARY REPORT

This report on the National Pesticide Residues Control Programme, carried out in 2019 by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM), provides details on pesticide residues detected in food commodities available on the Irish market. The Programme enforces EU legislation establishing the maximum permitted concentration of pesticide residues in food, or Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs), and aims to ensure that consumers are not exposed to unacceptable risks from pesticide residues.



Figure 1: A wide range of food samples are targeted and sampled for pesticide residue analysis.

The Programme for 2019 planned for the analysis of 1,618 consignments of fruit, vegetables, cereal, animal products and baby foods for up to 470 pesticides and metabolites as well as 7 PCB (polychlorinated biphenyls) marker compounds to check for compliance with EU and national legislation for plant protection and veterinary products. The programme consisted of 2 strategies: a *surveillance strategy* consisting of the random sampling of food commodities; and an *enforcement strategy* involving the sampling of food commodities from specific sources where non-compliance with pesticide legislation was suspected or had been detected previously.

The Programme was agreed with the Food Safety Authority of Ireland and sent to the EU Commission as required by European legislation. Sampling of domestic and imported foodstuffs was conducted at wholesalers, retailers, grain mills or at meat plants.

The 1,465 samples taken in 2019 were less than the planned number. The sampling requirements of the co-ordinated EU monitoring programme were fulfilled. The samples, comprising of 870 fruits and vegetables, 75 cereals, 448 foods of animal origin and 72 baby foods, were taken and analysed for pesticide and chemical residues at the Pesticide Residues Laboratory in Backweston, County Kildare.

The laboratory has continued to maintain and extend its accreditation status with the Irish National Accreditation Board.



Figure 2: The Pesticide Residues Laboratory is part of the Agrilabs Building at Backweston Campus.

Overall, 99.3% of the 1,465 samples analysed were free of quantifiable residues or contained residues within the legally permitted levels. No residues were detected in 59.3% of the samples, another 40.0% of samples contained residues at levels which were in compliance with the EU legislation and 0.7% (10 samples) contained residues exceeding the MRLs. Taking into account the analytical measurement uncertainty, 0.5% of the samples (7 samples) clearly exceeded these legal limits (non-compliance).

15.4% of the fruit and vegetable samples analysed were of domestic origin and the rest were imported from the EU and elsewhere. 99.2% of the fruit and vegetables samples either contained no residues or contained residues within the legally permitted levels (37.2% contained no residues and 62.0% of samples contained residues at levels which were in compliance with the EU legislation). The remaining 0.8% contained residues exceeding the MRLs, however when analytical measurement uncertainty is taken into account this falls to 0.6%.

In the case of the cereal samples, 49.3% were of domestic origin. 96% of the cereal samples either contained no residues or contained residues within the legally permitted levels. No residues were detected in 38.7% of the samples and a further 57.3% of the cereal samples had residues in compliance with the EU legislation. The remaining 4% (all rice samples) contained residues exceeding the MRLs, when analytical measurement uncertainty is taken into account this remained at 4%.

Food of animal origin samples, except for four honey samples, originated domestically. No residues were detected in 98% of the samples, and the remaining 2% of the samples had residues in compliance with the EU legislation.

No pesticide residues were detected in any of the baby food samples.

In 2019 four samples were taken under EU Regulations dealing with increased inspection of targeted food commodities from certain countries. No residues were detected in 50% of the samples and 50% of the samples had residues in compliance with the EU legislation.

In all cases where non-compliant residues are detected, consumer risk assessments, based on the residue level found and national food consumption data, are carried out to estimate the risk to consumers and to guide the follow-up action to be taken. The risk assessment calculation is inherently conservative. In 2019, one breach for dimethoate in peas with pods from Guatemala was found to have exceeded the acute reference dose (ARfD). A follow up sample of peas with pods from Guatemala was taken following the MRL exceedance and was compliant. Two further samples of peas with pods from Guatemala were taken during 2020 and were compliant.

All breaches involving produce of domestic origin were investigated to establish the reasons for the breaches and for appropriate follow-up. In addition, all produce with MRL breaches, both domestic and imported, were listed for targeted sampling as part of the follow-up enforcement strategy. During 2019 a total of twelve such targeted samples were identified and taken.

2. BACKGROUND

Pesticides comprise plant protection products and biocides. Plant protection products are required to protect crops and plant products from damage caused by insects, fungi, weeds and other pests. Production and distribution of sufficient volumes of food to meet consumer demands of quality at reasonable price is not possible without their use. Biocidal products are essential for disinfection of surfaces, implements and machinery used in the food industry and to inhibit the action of a range of harmful organisms.



Figure 3: The application of plant protection products to a growing crop.

The manner of use of many plant protection and biocidal products requires their release into the environment, resulting in potential exposure of workers, consumers and the general public to such products or to residual traces remaining in food. It is therefore necessary that such products be tightly regulated.

Pesticide residues are regulated in Ireland through the implementation of European legislation, Regulation (EC) No. 396/2005, which establishes EU Maximum Residues Levels (MRLs) for all pesticides in and on fruit and vegetables, cereals and in food of animal origin. MRLs are the maximum permissible level of pesticide residue allowed in or on a crop. Where crops have been treated in line with Good Agricultural Practice (GAP), MRLs are unlikely to be exceeded. Regulation (EC) No. 37/2010 establishes other MRLs for certain pesticides used as veterinary products. Commission Directives 2006/125/EC and 2006/141/EC establish certain MRLs for food intended for babies and young infants.

Pesticides are further controlled through legislation implementing Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009, which requires that all plant protection products must be registered before being placed on the market.

The Irish registration system specifies the timing, frequency, rates and the crops on which the pesticide may be used. Use of non-registered pesticides is an offence.



Figure 4: Department of Agriculture officer collecting fruit samples for pesticide residue analysis.

Where an MRL is exceeded, a dietary intake calculation is carried out to determine if the residue presents a risk to consumers, both adult and children. The results of the assessments are provided to the FSAI to coordinate a harmonised enforcement approach. Where warranted, for example when the pesticide intake exceeds specified toxicological endpoints; a Rapid Alert is issued by the FSAI and officers of the Pesticide Controls Division (PCD) of the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine (DAFM) take appropriate enforcement action. This may involve removal of the produce concerned from the market and its destruction at the owner's expense. The Minister may also prosecute offenders or apply administrative fines.

All European Union (EU) countries are required to have their own national monitoring plans and to publish their results. The '*Report of the National Pesticide Residues Control Programme 2019*' provides details of the results obtained during 2019 from a national programme monitoring for the presence of pesticide residues in and on food. The results were also sent to the European Food Safety Authority and will be used as part of an EU wide annual report.

3 PLANNING THE PROGRAMME

The national pesticide residue control programme for pesticide residues is undertaken by the PCD (Pesticide Controls Division) with laboratory support provided by the Pesticide Residues Laboratory (PRL) of the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine. The programme implements the requirements of Regulation (EC) No. 396/2005, and takes into account the requirements set out in the EU “*coordinated multi-annual Community control programme for 2019, 2020 and 2021 to ensure compliance with maximum levels of, and to assess the consumer exposure to pesticide residues in and on food of plant and animal origin*”, (Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No. 2018/555). The requirement of the monitoring of food of animal origin for Directive 96/23/EC is also taken into consideration with respect to the determination of organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticides.



Figure 5: Pesticide Control Laboratory with liquid chromatographic systems for sample analysis.

The annual control programme is carried out in accordance with contractual arrangements between the DAFM and the FSAI¹ and involves sampling of imported and domestic produce.

The programme ensures that consumers are not exposed to unacceptable pesticide residue levels in and on food, that plant protection products are correctly applied, and that the unauthorised use of such products in Ireland is controlled.

3.1 Programme design

The programme is designed to monitor different food groups for which MRLs have been established: fruit and vegetables, cereals, food of animal origin and baby food. It involves sampling of produce at distribution outlets, collection, storage, processing or slaughter premises and the analysis of those

¹ Service Contract from 2016 between the Food Safety Authority of Ireland and the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine

samples for the presence of residues of up to 470 pesticides and metabolites as well as 7 PCB congeners.



Figure 6: *The monitoring programme covers several food groups: fruit and vegetables, cereals, food of animal origin and baby food.*

The planned number of samples for the 2019 control programme was agreed with the FSAI. The programme is the primary means of ensuring that plant protection products (pesticides) are used in accordance with Good Agricultural Practice and is essential if the misuse of registered products and the use of non-registered products are to be eliminated. Plant protection products, registered under Regulation (EC) No. 1107/2009, can be misused in various ways, e.g. use of excessive dose rates, failure to respect the minimum periods specified between last application and harvest (i.e. pre-harvest intervals) and use for purposes for which they are not authorised (i.e. non-registered uses). When plant protection products are used in accordance with Good Agricultural Practice, unacceptable levels of residues should not occur in treated produce.

The pesticide residue monitoring programme for Ireland takes account of the following:

- i. the co-ordinated EU monitoring programme;
- ii. the dietary importance of the foodstuff from a consumer point of view;
- iii. the residue history of different sample types;
- iv. monitoring results obtained by other Member States;
- v. the manner in which the food is handled/processed prior to consumption;
- vi. the monitoring programme for food business operators and
- vii. the capacity of the laboratory to analyse samples.

4 SAMPLING

4.1 Food of plant origin

Samples were taken using the sampling method outlined in a Commission Directive² on the sampling of products of plant origin for the official control of pesticide residues.

The sampling programme consists of 2 strategies, as follows:

- *Surveillance sampling* of fruit and vegetables, processed, and organically labelled products. The surveillance sampling strategy involves sampling, in an objective manner and independent of the origin, of the food commodities that are available on the Irish market
- *Enforcement sampling* from import controls and follow up to non-compliant samples, such as MRL breaches.

The enforcement sampling strategy involves sampling of food commodities from specific sources where non-compliance with pesticide legislation is suspected or has been detected previously. It includes Import Controls Regulation (EC) No. 669/2009, which lists commodities and countries of origin for additional targeted sampling.



Figure 7: Department of Agriculture officer tagging fruit samples as part of the enforcement sampling strategy.

Authorised officers from the Pesticide Controls Division (PCD) carry out the sampling of food of plant origin and cereals in accordance with the Commission Sampling Directive 2002/63/EC. This Directive for instance, describes that a minimum of 1 kg or 10 units of a food commodity be taken

² Commission Directive 2002/63(EC)

from a consignment which then constitutes a laboratory sample. The samples are sealed with unique sample identity numbers and brought to the laboratory for analysis.

4.2 Food of animal origin

Random samples of bovine, porcine, ovine, poultry, and equine kidney fat samples are taken at various meat processing plants around the country in accordance with the monitoring plan organised by the Veterinary Medicine Unit of DAFM. The fat samples are taken from individual animals at meat plants by officers of the Veterinary Inspectorate.

In the case of milk, representative samples of particular bulk consignments from milk dairies were taken by officers of the Dairy Inspectorate.

The planned number for food of animal origin was decided in conjunction with the Veterinary Medicine Unit of DAFM, as part of the National Residue Plan required under Directive 96/23/EC³. Cow's milk and swine fat were sampled to meet the requirements of the EU multi-annual control programme for 2019.

4.3 Infant formula

The samples were taken by officers of the Dairy Science Laboratory of DAFM. The legislation and the MRLs governing these infant samples are set in Commission Directive 2006/141/EC⁴ with MRLs different to those established for the foods of plant and animal origin.



Figure 8: Feeding time with baby infant formula.

³ Council Directive 96/23/EC 29th April 1999 OJ No L125/10

⁴ Commission Directive 2006/141/EC of 22 December 2006 on infant formulae and follow-on formulae, 30.12.2006 OJ No L 401

5 TESTING FOR PESTICIDE RESIDUES

5.1 Analytical procedures

All the samples are brought to the Pesticide Residues Laboratory which is based at the DAFM Laboratory campus in Backweston, Co. Kildare.

On receipt, the samples are logged into the laboratory system and prepared for residue analysis. The fruit and vegetable samples are blended or ground with dry ice (solid carbon dioxide), put into labelled sample bags and stored in a freezer at -18 °C prior to extraction and analysis.



Figure 9: Lettuce sample prior to chopping and blending.



Figure 10: Chopped oranges in dry ice prior to blending and packaging.

At the extraction stage, the ground-up sample is taken out and a measured amount is extracted with organic solvents, cleaned up if required and injected into one of two chromatographic systems - GC/MS/MS (gas chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry) and/or LC/MS/MS (liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry).



Figure 11: Frozen laboratory samples.



Figure 12: Sample material following the first chemical extraction, ready for clean-up steps.

These analytical techniques allow a large number of pesticide residues to be analysed at the same time. For these multi-residue methods (MRM), mixes containing many pesticide standards are

injected onto the chromatographic columns and the details of the individual standards eluting from the columns are recorded as unique mass spectral data.



Figure 13: Glass vials containing samples for automated injection onto analytical equipment.

When a residue in a laboratory sample is identified by matching the retention time and the mass spectrum pattern with a standard, the amount of the residue in the sample is then quantified by running it against a series of standard mixtures of known concentrations. A select number of samples are also analysed for other pesticides which cannot be analysed using the multi-residue methods outlined above. These single residue methods (SRM), which may employ different extraction methods, are used to analyse such pesticides as amitraz, glyphosate, paraquat and dithiocarbamates.



Figure 14: Sample chromatograms being compared with pesticide standards.

References to the analytical methods used in the laboratory are provided in Annex II of this report. Some pesticides break down to give metabolites and in several cases these are summed to give a combined residue result and compared against the MRL using the residue definition established in legislation. An example is DDT which can consist of up to 6 breakdown products: o,p'-DDD, p,p'-DDD, o,p'-DDE, p,p'-DDE, o,p'-DDT and p,p'-DDT. The residue definition is the sum of these products expressed as DDT. The overall number of 470 pesticides analysed for in 2019 refers to the compounds analysed, including metabolites, as listed in Annex III.



Figure 15: Residue identification and quantitation.



Figure 16: State-of-the-art advanced facilities are available in the Pesticide Control Laboratory such as accurate, high resolution mass spectroscopy.

5.2 Quality assurance

It is obligatory that all Official Control laboratories in the EU involved in the testing for pesticide residues be accredited.

In 2019, the PCL was audited by the Irish National Accreditation Board when its accreditation status to the ISO 17025 standard was confirmed and extended. The current pesticides in the scope of the accreditation may be viewed on the Irish National Accreditation Board website at <https://www.inab.ie/FileUpload/Testing/DAFM-Laboratories-Backweston-385T.pdf>.



Figure 17: The monitoring system ensures that food produced in the EU is safe for consumers to eat.

The laboratory participated in all four of the EU Proficiency studies organised, on behalf of the EU Commission, by the European Union Community Reference Laboratories (EU-RL) in the pesticide area. Routine quality assurance procedures are followed within the laboratory in accordance with the requirements specified to maintain accreditation to the ISO 17025 standard.

All food of animal origin samples were also analysed for pesticides, metabolites and PCB marker congeners. PCBs are persistent environmental contaminants which in the past were released into the environment from industrial sources, but whose use has been discontinued for many years. They are included in the control programme as marker substances because of concerns related to their presence in food and their association with dioxins (chlorinated dibenzo-dioxins and furans).

6 RESULTS

6.1 Summary of the analytical results

A total of **1,465** samples were taken for analysis under two different types of sampling –

- **1,436** samples were selected under the surveillance strategy
- **29** samples were taken in a targeted manner under the enforcement strategy.

The following tables (1 to 16) provide summary details of all the samples taken in 2019 grouped by the food categories. These categories are based on the way the commodities are arranged and grouped in Annex I of the Residue Regulation (EC) No. 396/2005. The tables include information on the number of samples containing pesticides residues, country of origin and the most commonly detected pesticide in that food category.

Where results are included, they are expressed in mg/kg and are rounded to different significant figures depending on the concentration. These rounding rules do not reflect the precision of the methods but are used by regulatory laboratories in pesticide residues to harmonise the rounding and reporting of pesticide residue results in the EU.



Figure 18: Signing off on results.

Table 1: Summary results of fruit samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	Ireland	EU	TC	Unknown
American persimmons	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Apples	67	20	46	1	1	39	27	0
Apricots	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Blackberries	4	0	4	0	0	1	3	0
Blueberries	6	3	3	0	0	3	3	0
Cherries (sweet)	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	0
Clementines	32	1	31	0	0	7	25	0
Coconut oil/fat	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Coconuts	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Common banana	21	6	15	0	0	0	21	0
Common peaches	15	0	15	0	0	12	3	0
Figs	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Granate apples	8	2	5	1	0	1	7	0
Grapefruits	15	0	14	1	0	9	6	0
Juice, apple	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	4
Juice, cranberry	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
Juice, grapefruit	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Juice, orange	10	6	4	0	0	0	0	10
Juice, pineapple	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Kiwi fruits	16	6	10	0	0	11	5	0
Lemons	8	0	8	0	0	5	3	0
Limes	8	1	7	0	0	0	8	0
Mandarins	17	0	17	0	0	6	11	0
Mangoes	13	9	4	0	0	0	13	0
Melons	2	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
Minneolas	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Nectarines	10	1	9	0	0	6	4	0
Oranges	39	5	34	0	0	11	28	0
Passionfruits	4	1	2	1	0	0	4	0
Pears	40	4	36	0	0	34	6	0
Pineapples	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0
Plums	11	1	10	0	0	6	5	0
Raspberries	12	5	7	0	1	7	4	0
Satsumas	9	0	9	0	0	1	8	0
Strawberries	23	2	21	0	8	10	5	0
Table grapes	27	4	23	0	0	5	22	0
Wine, red	8	8	0	0	0	4	4	0
Wine, white	8	6	2	0	0	1	7	0
Total	459	102	353	4	10	183	246	20

Table 2: Summary of fruit samples taken in the surveillance programme

Fruit samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 459 fruit surveillance samples were analysed • 22.2% had no residues detected above the LOQ • 76.9% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL • 0.9% had residues detected above the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.2% of fruit samples were of Irish origin • 39.9% were from EU countries and 53.6% from outside the EU • The origin could not be confirmed for 4.3% due to the processed nature of the product sampled
Most frequently detected pesticides	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detection rates in all fruit samples: imazalil 30%, fludioxonil 26%, pyrimethanil 26%, thiabendazole 25%, boscalid 12%
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 pesticides were found in a strawberry sample from Ireland
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 samples exceeded the MRL. Details are in chapter 7 of this report

Table 3: Summary results of vegetable, fungi and other plant product samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unknown
Asparagus	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Aubergines	9	3	6	0	0	9	0	0
Avocados	13	12	1	0	0	2	11	0
Beans (with pods)	12	7	4	1	1	1	10	0
Broccoli	20	14	6	0	5	11	4	0
Brussels sprouts	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Carrots	21	10	11	0	13	7	1	0
Cauliflowers	6	6	0	0	2	4	0	0
Celeries	6	1	5	0	0	6	0	0
Chards	3	0	3	0	1	2	0	0
Chili peppers	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Chinese cabbages	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Common mushrooms	16	10	6	0	16	0	0	0
Courgettes	15	8	7	0	1	14	0	0
Cucumbers	13	6	7	0	4	9	0	0
Curly endives	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Curly kales	8	2	6	0	4	4	0	0
Escaroles	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Florence fennels	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Galangal roots	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Garden peas (with pods)	12	1	10	1	0	0	12	0
Garden peas (without pods)	6	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
Ginger roots spice	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Head cabbages	16	8	8	0	8	8	0	0
Lamb's lettuces	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	0
Land cresses	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Leeks	5	4	1	0	3	2	0	0
Lettuces (generic)	31	9	22	0	3	28	0	0
Mints	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Olive oil	5	5	0	0	0	5	0	0
Onions	10	8	1	1	1	9	0	0
Oyster mushrooms	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Pak-choi	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0
Parsley	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Parsnip roots	5	2	3	0	5	0	0	0
Peas (dry)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Potatoes	37	31	6	0	23	12	2	0
Rape seed oil, edible	3	3	0	0	1	0	1	1
Roman rocket	5	0	5	0	0	5	0	0
Spinaches	19	8	11	0	6	12	0	1
Spring onions	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Sunflower seed oil, edible	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Swedes	4	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Sweet corn	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sweet peppers	23	13	10	0	0	22	1	0
Sweet potatoes	8	3	5	0	0	1	7	0
Thyme	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Tomatoes	24	8	16	0	8	15	1	0
Turnips	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Winter squashes	4	3	1	0	0	3	1	0
Total	388	213	172	3	115	202	61	10

Table 4: Summary of vegetable, fungi and other plant product samples taken in the surveillance programme

Vegetable and fungi samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 388 vegetable, fungi and other plant product surveillance samples were analysed • 54.9% had no residues detected above the LOQ • 44.3% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL • 0.8% had residues detected above the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 29.6% of vegetable, fungi and other plant product were of Irish origin • 52.1% were from EU countries and 15.7% from outside the EU • The origin could not be confirmed for 2.6% of the product sampled due to the processed nature of the product sampled
Most frequently detected pesticides	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Boscalid was detected in 9% of the samples
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 pesticides were found in a tomato sample from Spain
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 samples exceeded the MRL. Details are in chapter 7 of this report

6.2 Key findings of the fruit and vegetable sample results

In the 2019 programme a total of 847 fruit and vegetable samples were analysed using the surveillance or random sampling strategy. When compared to previous years, the proportion of samples with residues detected above the MRL (0.8%) has decreased from 2018 (2.7%) and 2017 (1.8%). The proportion of fruit and vegetable samples with detectable residues above the LOQ was 62.8%.

As in the previous 3 years, imazalil, which is mainly used to prevent decay of citrus during storage and transportation, was the most commonly detected pesticide in the fruit and vegetables samples during 2019.

Table 5: Summary results of cereal samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unknown
Barley grains	20	9	11	0	20	0	0	0
Wheat grain	7	0	7	0	0	7	0	0
Oat grain	17	1	16	0	17	0	0	0
Rice grain	15	6	7	2	0	0	0	15
Wheat flour	10	8	2	0	0	0	0	10
Total	69	24	43	2	37	7	0	25

Table 6: Summary of cereal samples taken in the surveillance programme

Cereal samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 69 cereal samples were analysed • 34.8% had no residue detected above the LOQ • 62.3% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL • 2.9% with residues above the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 53.6% of cereal samples were of Irish origin • 10.1% were from EU countries and 0% from outside the EU • The origin could not be confirmed for 36.2% of the product sampled due to the processed nature of the product sampled
Most frequently detected pesticide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chlormequat was detected in 27.5% of the cereal samples analysed using the selective method for that compound
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 pesticides were found in a barley sample from Ireland
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 samples exceeded the MRL. Details are in chapter 7 of this report

6.3 Key findings of the cereal sample results

In the 2019 programme a total of 69 cereal samples were analysed using the surveillance or random sampling strategy. When compared to previous years, the proportion of samples with residues detected above the MRL (2.9%) has decreased from 2018 (11.1%). These all related to rice. The proportion of cereal samples with detectable residues above the LOQ was 65.2%.

53.6% of the cereal samples taken were of domestic origin. Chlormequat was detected in 27.5% of the cereal samples analysed using the selective method for that compound. Glyphosate was detected in one wheat flour sample.

Table 7: Summary results of food of animal origin samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unkno wn
Bovine fat tissue	141	140	1	0	141	0	0	0
Chicken, fresh fat tissue	20	20	0	0	20	0	0	0
Equine fat tissue	8	6	2	0	8	0	0	0
Pig fat tissue	67	67	0	0	67	0	0	0
Sheep fat tissue	84	79	5	0	84	0	0	0
Turkey, fresh fat tissue	5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Cow milk, whole	74	74	0	0	74	0	0	0
Hen eggs	30	30	0	0	30	0	0	0
Honey	19	18	1	0	15	0	0	4
Total	448	439	9	0	444	0	0	4

Table 8: Summary of food of animal origin samples taken in the surveillance programme

Food of animal origin samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 448 food of animal origin samples were analysed • 98% had no residue detected above the LOQ • 2% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 99.1% of the food of animal origin samples were of Irish origin
Most frequently detected pesticide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diazinon was detected in 5 food of animal origin samples
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No more than one residue was found in any food of animal origin sample
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No food of animal origin sample with residues detected above the MRL

6.4 Key findings of the food of animal origin sample results

The percentage of food of animal origin samples with detectable residues decreased slightly to 2% compared to the previous two years (4%), despite an increase in the analytical scope and increased sensitivity of the methods used for these samples. There were no samples where the MRL was exceeded. 99.1% of the food of animal origin samples taken were of domestic origin.

Table 9: Summary results of baby food samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unknown
Follow-on formulae	16	16	0	0	16	0	0	0
Infant formulae	26	26	0	0	26	0	0	0
Processed cereal-based food for infants and young children	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	20
Ready-to-eat meal for infants and young children	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	10
Total	72	72	0	0	42	0	0	30

Table 10: Summary of baby food samples taken in the surveillance programme

Baby food samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 72 baby food samples were analysed 100% had no residue detected above the LOQ
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 58.3% of the babyfood samples were of Irish origin. The origin could not be confirmed for 41.7% of the product sampled due to the processed nature of the product sampled
Most frequently detected pesticide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No pesticides detected
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No pesticides detected
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No baby food sample with residues detected above the MRL

6.5 Key findings of baby food sample results

In line with previous years there continued to be no residues detected in the infant and follow-on formula samples analysed in 2019.

Table 11: Summary results of targeted and follow up enforcement samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unknown
Apples	3	1	2	0	2	0	1	0
Kales	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	0
Leeks	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Passion fruits	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Pears	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Potatoes	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Spring onions	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Strawberries	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Swedes	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Raisins	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Rice	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Beans without pods	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Chickpea without pods	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Couscous	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Lentils (dried)	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Millet	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Oats	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Popcorn	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Raisins	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Spelt	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sultanas	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Tomatoes	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total	25	17	7	1	7	3	14	1

Table 12: Summary of targeted and follow up samples taken in the enforcement programme

Enforcement samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 targeted and follow-up enforcement samples were analysed • 68.0% had no residue detected above the LOQ • 28.0% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL • 4% had residues detected above the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 28.0% of enforcement samples were of Irish origin • 12.0% were from EU countries and 56.0% from outside the EU. The origin could not be confirmed for 4% of the product sampled due to the processed nature of the product sampled
Most frequently detected pesticide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not relevant due to diverse range of commodities
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 pesticides were found in a pear sample from Portugal
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 sample exceeded the MRL. Details are in chapter 7 of this report

6.6 Key findings of targeted and follow up sample results

Where 2018 samples were found to exceed a statutory MRL the relevant food commodities were targeted for analysis in 2019. In addition a number of organic samples imported into the country were targeted for testing. Twenty-five samples were taken and 1 sample exceeded an MRL.

Table 13: Summary results of import control samples

Commodity	Residues detected				Origin of samples			
	Total	<LOQ	>LOQ & <MRL	>MRL	IE	EU	TC	Unknown
Beans with pods	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
Lemons	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Sweet peppers	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Total	4	2	2	0	0	0	4	0

Table 14: Summary of import control samples taken in the enforcement programme

Enforcement samples with pesticide residues detected	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 import control samples were analysed • 50% had no residue detected above the LOQ • 50% had residues detected above the LOQ and below the MRL • None had residues detected above the MRL
Origin of samples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% of import control samples were from outside the EU
Most frequently detected pesticide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not relevant due to diverse range of commodities
Maximum number of multiple residues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7 pesticides were found in a lemon sample from Turkey
Pesticide residues above the MRL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No sample exceeded the MRL

6.7 Key findings of import control sample results

In 2019 4 samples were taken under EU Regulations dealing with increased inspection of targeted food commodities from certain countries. No residues were detected in 50% of the samples and 50% of the samples had residues in compliance with the EU legislation. There was no MRL breach in 2019.

7 MRL BREACHES

7.1 Types of breaches

Ten (0.7%) of the 1,465 samples taken in 2019 were found to contain residues above the Maximum Residue Levels set in Regulation (EC) 396/2005. Taking into account the analytical measurement uncertainty, 0.5% of the samples (7 samples) clearly exceeded these legal limits (non-compliance).

Table 15 shows the breakdown of the residues found in all samples by food types, total sample number and % of samples without residues above the LOQ, residues below the MRL and the number exceeding the MRL from the two sampling programmes.

Table 15: Summary of all food types with residues and MRL breaches in 2019

Sampling programmes	Food types	Number s	< LOQ		<MRL		> MRL	
Surveillance	Fruit Veg	847	315	37.2%	525	62.0%	7	0.8%
Surveillance	Cereal	69	24	34.8%	43	62.3%	2	2.9%
Surveillance	Animal origin	448	439	98.0%	9	2.0%	0	0.0%
Surveillance	Baby food	72	72	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Enforcement	Fruit Veg / Cereals	25	17	68.0%	7	28.0%	1	4.0%
Import Controls	Fruit Veg	4	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
Total		1465	869	59.3%	586	40.0%	10	0.7%

Table 16 lists all the breaches with details of the origin, commodity, and pesticide detected above the MRL and the residues found.

Table 16: Details of the MRL breaches in 2019

	Source	Commodity	Pesticide	Residue	MRL
Surveillance					
Ireland	Ireland	onions	chlorothalonil	0.011	0.01
EU	France	apples	chlorpyrifos	0.012	0.01
Third Country					
	Turkey	grapefruit	buprofezin	0.056	0.01
	Kenya	green bean with pod	methamidophos	0.16	0.01
			acephate	0.42	0.01
	Colombia	passion fruit	chlorantraniliprole	0.012	0.01
			difenoconazole	0.19	0.1
	India	pomegranate	ethion	0.021	0.01
	Unknown	rice	tricyclazole	0.021	0.01
	Unknown	rice	buprofezin	0.025	0.01
	Guatemala	sugar peas with pod	dimethoate	0.071	0.01
			omethoate	0.035	0.01
Enforcement					
	Unknown	rice	tricyclazole	0.021	0.01

7.2 Risk Assessments

7.2.1 Acute assessment

An acute risk assessment for Irish consumers, adults and children, was conducted for each MRL exceedance detected in 2019.

The risk assessment is based on the following factors:

- A large portion consumed over a 24 hour period. A very high percentile, 97.5%, is used from the food surveys.
- Body weight of the consumer.
- A variability factor to account for possible uneven distribution of the residues in a consignment or food lot. A factor of 5 is normally used. The mean residue detected in a laboratory sample is multiplied by this factor and is applied to an average weight of a food unit.
- ARfD - Acute reference dose mg/kg bw - toxicological endpoint over a 24 hour period.
- Residue found in the sample exceeding the MRL.
- Refinement such as peel/pulp factors. In the post-harvest application, such as dipping citrus fruit in imazalil, a refinement factor can be used since most of the pesticide resides on the peel and the laboratory result is based on the whole fruit.

The results of the assessments are provided to the FSAI to coordinate a harmonised enforcement approach.

It should be stressed that these assessments based on the combination of a large food portion, highest residue found and a highly uneven distribution of the residue is a very conservative assessment leading to an overestimation of the real exposure of Irish consumers to pesticide intakes.

The acute or short term pesticide intake for all products which had breaches indicates that nine of the ten breaches were below the 100% ARfD and therefore are deemed not to represent a short term intake safety concern. There was one exceedance of the ARfD (145% for children) for dimethoate in peas with pods from Guatemala. As stated above the calculation is inherently conservative. In addition, in this case, the risk assessment was based on the use of consumption data relating to peas without pods as there was no data available for peas with pods. A follow up sample of peas with pods from Guatemala was taken following the MRL exceedance and was compliant. Two further samples of peas with pods from Guatemala were taken during 2020 and were compliant.

7.2.2 Chronic Assessment

A chronic risk assessment for Irish consumers, adult and children, is conducted for each MRL exceedance. The calculation of the chronic exposure assessment is based on the following factors:

- Mean portion of food consumed
- Body weight of the consumer
- ADI (acceptable daily intake)
- Residue found in the sample exceeding the MRL

It is assumed that the consumer is eating the same commodity with the residue leading to the MRL breach on a daily basis over a lifetime. This assessment is an overestimate of the real exposure to pesticides.

There was no chronic intake exceedance for any of the 10 MRL breaches encountered in 2019.

8 ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

Enforcement action is taken when an unacceptable risk to consumers is identified, or where repeated occurrence of excessive residue levels in commodities from the same source occurs. As part of the enforcement programme, commodities of specific country of origin are targeted for further attention. Targeted sampling of produce in the monitoring plan that has previously been found to be in breach of established MRLs is the prime means of determining whether violations are isolated incidents or are a result of systematic pesticides abuse. The enforcement sampling programme is designed to eliminate such abuses and to ensure that they are not repeated.

8.1 Enforcement actions on domestic samples

The PCD Enforcement Officer investigates MRL breaches in samples of domestic origin. In 2019, one MRL breach was detected in produce of domestic origin (onions).

With respect to the reported breach, the following summarises the findings of the follow-up investigation;

- Chlorothalonil detected in onions - Not a breach when measurement uncertainty taken into account. Reason for the MRL exceedance was not determined.

As a result of MRL breaches and invalid uses detected in 2019, a number of follow up targeted samples were taken from domestic growers in 2020.

8.2 Enforcement actions on imported samples

With respect to MRL breaches detected in imported samples, it was not always possible to establish the reasons for breaches in the absence of details on the pesticides authorised for use in the countries of origin. Where an imported product contained a residue in excess of an MRL, the authorities in the country of origin and the Irish importer were informed of the MRL breach. They were also informed that further produce from the same source encountered on the Irish market would be further targeted for analysis and, if necessary, subjected to statutory actions.

Commission Regulation (EC) No. 669/2009 imposes additional controls on imports from third countries known or considered to be a risk from elevated levels of pesticide residues. Annex I to this legislation lists countries and commodities subject to this legislation, and also details sampling and analysis frequencies. Produce subject to these additional controls can only enter the country through Border Control Posts, which for Ireland (with respect to pesticide residues) are Dublin Port and Dublin Airport.

Based on the laboratory result (and risk assessment where appropriate), a consignment is either released (no issues arising), re-despatched or destroyed under supervision. The latter options come into play when a risk assessment indicates that a health concern cannot be ruled out and/or a MRL is breached with a 50% measurement of uncertainty. In all instances a health concern takes precedence over uncertainty guidelines.

In 2019, 4 consignments were randomly selected and analysed for pesticide residues. No sample was found to breach relevant MRLs.

8.3 Concluding remarks

The Pesticide Residues Laboratory and Pesticide Controls Division of the DAFM and the FSAI continue to have dialogue as part of the service contract between both organisations. The intention is to optimise the annual control programme for pesticide residues in food and assess the possible risk of such residues for consumers. The programme will continue to take account of the opinion of the European Commission with respect to the range of crops and pesticides to be included in the programme.

DAFM will focus on further increasing the capacity of the laboratory to screen for an ever-increasing number of pesticides, using multi- and single residue methods over a wider range of food commodities.

9 ANNEXES

9.1 ANNEX I Scopes and Reporting Level (mg/kg) of the analytical methods used

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
1	1-Naphthylacetamide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
2	2,4,5-T	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
3	2,4-D	LC	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
4	2,4-DB	LC	0.067	N	0.067	N	0.067	N	0.067	N	0.067	N	0.067	N
5	4,4-Dichlorobenzophenone	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
6	Abamectin	LC	0.01	N	0.1	Y	0.01	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.01	Y
7	Acephate	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
8	Acephate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N	0.005	N
9	Acetamiprid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
10	Acetochlor	LC	0.02	Y	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
11	Acibenzolar-S-methyl	LC	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
12	Aclonifen	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
13	Acrinathrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
14	Alachlor	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
15	Aldicarb	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
16	Aldicarb-sulfone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
17	Aldicarb-sulfoxide	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
18	Aldrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.003	Y
19	Ametryn	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
20	Amidosulfuron	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
21	Aminocarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
22	Anthraquinone	GC	0.05	N	0.01	Y			0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
23	Asulam	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
24	Atrazine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
25	Atrazine-desethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
26	Atrazine-desisopropyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
27	Azaconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
28	Azamethiophos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
29	Azinphos-ethyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
30	Azinphos-methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N
31	Azoxystrobin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
32	Azoxystrobin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
33	BAC10	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
34	BAC12	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
35	BAC14	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
36	BAC16	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
37	Benalaxyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
38	Bendiocarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
39	Bentazone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
40	Benthiavalicarb-isopropyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
41	Benzoximate	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
42	Bifenthrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
43	Binapacryl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
44	Bioresmethrin	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
45	Biphenyl	GC	0.05	Y	0.01	Y	0.025	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.01	Y
46	Bitertanol	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
47	Bixafen	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
48	Boscalid	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
49	Boscalid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
50	Bromacil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
51	Bromophos-ethyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
52	Bromophos-methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N
53	Bromopropylate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
54	Bromoxynil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
55	Bromuconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
56	Bupirimate	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
57	Buprofezin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
58	Butocarboxim Sulfoxide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
59	Butoxycarboxim	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	Y
60	Cadusafos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
61	Captofol	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.005	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
62	Captan	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
63	Carbaryl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
64	Carbendazim	LC	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
65	Carbofuran	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
66	Carbofuran 3 Hydroxy	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
67	Carbosulfan	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
68	Carboxin	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
69	Carfentrazone-ethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
70	Chlorantraniliprole	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
71	Chlorbromuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
72	Chlorbufam	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
73	Chlordane-cis	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.05	Y
74	Chlordane-trans	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.05	Y
75	Chlorfenapyr	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
76	Chlorfenvinphos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
77	Chlorfluazuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
78	Chloridazon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
79	Chlorobenzilate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
80	Chlorothalonil	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
81	Chlorotoluron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
82	Chloroxuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
83	Chlorpropham	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
84	Chlorpyrifos methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
85	Chlorpyriphos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
86	Chlorsulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.05	Y
87	Chlorthal-dimethyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
88	Chlozolinat	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.05	Y
89	Clethodim	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
90	Clodinafop-propargyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
91	Clofentezine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
92	Clomazone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
93	Clopyralid	LC	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
94	Clothianidin	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
95	Coumaphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
96	Cyanazine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
97	Cyanofenphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
98	Cyanophos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
99	Cyazofamid	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
100	Cyclanilide	LC	0.1	Y	0.1	N			0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N
101	Cycloate	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
102	Cycloxydim	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	Y			0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
103	Cyfluthrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	N	0.05	Y
104	Cyhalothrin-lambda	GC	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
105	Cymiazol	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
106	Cymoxanil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
107	Cypermethrin	GC	0.02	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.1	Y
108	Cyproconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
109	Cyprodinil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
110	DDAC	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
111	DEET	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
112	Deltamethrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.05	Y
113	Demeton-S-me-sulfone	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
114	Demeton-S-methyl-sulfoxide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
115	Desmedipham	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
116	Diazinon	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
117	Dichlobenil	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
118	Dichlofenthion	LC	0.05	N	0.01	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
119	Dichlofluanid	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
120	Dichlorprop	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
121	Dichlorvos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
122	Diclobutrazol	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
123	Dicloran	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
124	Dicofol	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
125	Dicrotophos	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
126	Dieldrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
127	Diethofencarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
128	Difenoconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
129	Diflubenzuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
130	Diflufenican	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
131	Dimethenamid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
132	Dimethoate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
133	Dimethomorph	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
134	Dimoxystrobin	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
135	Diniconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
136	Dinitramine	LC	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N
137	Dinoseb	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
138	Dinoterb	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	Y
139	Dioxacarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
140	Diphenamid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
141	Diphenylamine	GC	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.025	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.01	Y
142	Ditalimfos	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
143	Diuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
144	DMSA	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
145	DMST	LC	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	Y
146	DNOC	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	Y
147	Dodine	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
148	Emamectin B1a	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
149	Endosulfan sulfate	LC	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
150	Endosulfan-alpha	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
151	Endosulfan-beta	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
152	Endosulfan-ether	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
153	Endosulfan-lacton	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
154	Endosulfan-sulfate	GC	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
155	Endrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
156	EPN	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
157	Epoxyconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
158	Esfenvalerate	LC	0.01	Y			0.05	Y					0.01	Y
159	EPTC	LC	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N
160	Ethiofencarb	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
161	Ethiofencarb-sulfone	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
162	Ethiofencarb-sulfoxide	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
163	Ethion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
164	Ethirimol	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
165	Ethofumesate	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
166	Ethoprophos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
167	Etofenprox	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
168	Etoxazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.05	Y
169	Etridazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
170	Etrimfos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
171	Famoxadone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
172	Fenamidone	GC	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
173	Fenamiphos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
174	Fenamiphos-sulfone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
175	Fenamiphos-sulfoxide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
176	Fenarimol	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
177	Fenazaquin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
178	Fenbuconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
179	Fenchlorphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
180	Fenhexamid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
181	Fenitrothion	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
182	Fenoprop (2,4,5-TP)	LC	0.05	N	0.05	N			0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
183	Fenothiocarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
184	Fenoxaprop-ethyl	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
185	Fenoxycarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
186	Fenpiclonil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
187	Fenpropathrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
188	Fenpropidin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
189	Fenpropimorph	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
190	Fenpyroximate	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
191	Fensulfothion	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	N
192	Fenthion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
193	Fenthion Sulfone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
194	Fenthion Sulfoxide	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
195	Fenuron	LC	0.05	N	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
196	Fenvalerate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
197	Fipronil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
198	Fipronil desulfynil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
199	Fipronil sulfide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
200	Fipronil sulfone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
201	Flamprop-isopropyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
202	Flazasulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
203	Flonicamid	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
204	Florasulam	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
205	Fluazifop	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
206	Fluazifop-P-butyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
207	Fluazinam	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
208	Flubendiamide	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
209	Flucycloxuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
210	Flucythrinate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
211	Fludioxonil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
212	Fludioxonil	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
213	Flufenacet	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
214	Flufenoxuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
215	Fluopicolide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
216	Fluopyram	LC	0.02	N	0.02	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	Y
217	Fluquinconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
218	Flurochloridone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
219	Flurtamone	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
220	Flusilazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
221	Flutolanil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
222	Flutriafol	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
223	Fluvalinate-tau	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
224	Fluxapyroxad	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
225	Folpet	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
226	Fonofos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
227	Forchlorfenuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
228	Formothion	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
229	Fosthiazate	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
230	Fuberidazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
231	Furalaxyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
232	Furathiocarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
233	Furmecyclox	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
234	Haloxypop	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
235	Haloxypop-methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
236	HCH-alpha	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N
237	HCH-beta	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
238	HCH-delta	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
239	Heptachlor	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.003	Y
240	Heptachlor endo-epoxide,trans	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	Y
241	Heptachlor exo-epoxide,cis	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.003	N
242	Heptenophos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
243	Hexachlorobenzene	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.003	Y
244	Hexaconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
245	Hexaflumuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
246	Hexythiazox	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
247	Imazalil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
248	Imazamox	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
249	Imazaquin	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
250	Imazethapyr	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
251	Imidacloprid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
252	Indoxacarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
253	Iodofenphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N
254	Iodosulfuron-methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
255	Ioxynil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
256	Iprodione	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
257	Iprovalicarb	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
258	Isazophos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
259	Isocarbofos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
260	Isodrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
261	Isofenphos	LC	0.01	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
262	Isofenphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
263	Isofenphos-methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
264	Isofenphos-oxon	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
265	Isoprocarb	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
266	Isoprothiolane	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
267	Isoproturon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
268	Kresoxim-methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
269	Lenacil	GC	0.01	Y	0.05	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
270	Lindane	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
271	Linuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
272	Lufenuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
273	Malaoxon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
274	Malathion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
275	Mandipropamid	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
276	MCPA	LC	0.02	Y	0.02	N			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
277	MCPA methyl ester	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
278	MCPB	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
279	Mecarbam	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
280	Mecoprop	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
281	Mefenpyr-Diethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
282	Mepanipyrim	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
283	Mephosfolan	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
284	Mepronil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
285	Mesosulfuron methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
286	Metalaxyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
287	Metamitron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
288	Metazachlor	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
289	Metconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
290	Methacrifos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
291	Methamidophos	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
292	Methamidophos	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
293	Methidathion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
294	Methiocarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
295	Methiocarb sulfone	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
296	Methiocarb sulfoxide	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
297	Methomyl	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
298	Methoprene	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
299	Methoxychlor	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N
300	Methoxyfenozide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
301	Metobromuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
302	Metolachlor	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
303	Metosulam	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
304	Metoxuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
305	Metrafenone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
306	Metribuzin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
307	Metsulfuron-methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
308	Mevinphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
309	Mirex	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
310	Molinate	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
311	Molinate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
312	Monocrotophos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
313	Monolinuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
314	Myclobutanil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
315	Napropamide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
316	Naptalam	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
317	Neburon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
318	Nicosulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
319	Nitenpyram	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
320	Nitrofen	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	N
321	Nonachlor-trans	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
322	Nuarimol	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
323	Omethoate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.003	N
324	opDDD	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
325	opDDE	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
326	opDDT	GC	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
327	o-Phenyphenol	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
328	Oxadiazon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
329	Oxadixyl	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
330	Oxamyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
331	Oxamyl Oxime	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
332	Oxychlorane	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.006	N	0.006	N	0.006	N
333	Oxyfluorfen	LC	0.1	N	0.01	Y	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	Y
334	Paclobutrazol	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
335	Paraoxon methyl	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
336	Paraoxon-ethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
337	Parathion-ethyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
338	Parathion-methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
339	PCB28	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
340	PCB52	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
341	PCB101	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
342	PCB118	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
343	PCB138	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
344	PCB153	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
345	PCB180	GC	0.005	N	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
346	Penconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
347	Pencycuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
348	Pendimethalin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
349	Pentachloroaniline	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
350	Permethrin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
351	Pethoxamid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
352	Phenmedipham	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
353	Phenthoate	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
354	Phorate	GC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
355	Phorate Sulfoxide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
356	Phosalone	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
357	Phosmet	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
358	Phosphamidon	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
359	Phoxim	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
360	Picloram	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
361	Picoxystrobin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
362	Piperonyl butoxide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
363	Pirimicarb	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
364	Pirimicarb desmethyl	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
365	Pirimiphos-ethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
366	Pirimiphos-methyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
367	ppDDD	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
368	ppDDE	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
369	ppDDT	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	N	0.005	N
370	Prochloraz	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
371	Procymidone	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
372	Profenofos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
373	Promecarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
374	Promethryn	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
375	Prometon	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
376	Propachlor	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
377	Propamocarb	LC					0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
378	Propanil	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
379	Propaquizafop	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
380	Propargite	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
381	Propazine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
382	Propetamphos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
383	Propham	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
384	Propiconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
385	Propoxur	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
386	Propoxycarbazone	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
387	Propyzamide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
388	Proquinazid	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
389	Prosulfocarb	LC	0.05	Y	0.05	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
390	Prosulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
391	Prothioconazole desthio	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
392	Prothiofos	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
393	Pymetrozine	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
394	Pyraclostrobin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
395	Pyrazophos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
396	Pyrethrins	LC	0.05	N	0.01	Y	0.05	Y	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	Y
397	Pyridaben	LC							0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
398	Pyridaben	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
399	Pyridalyl	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
400	Pyridaphenthion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
401	Pyrifenox	GC	0.02	Y	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
402	Pyrimethanil	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
403	Pyriproxifen	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
404	Quinalphos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
405	Quinoxifen	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
406	Quintozene	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
407	Quizalofop	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y			0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
408	Quizalofop-ethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
409	Resmethrin	GC	0.1	N	0.05	Y	0.1	Y	0.1	N	0.1	N	0.1	N
410	Rimsulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.02	Y
411	Rotenone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
412	Silthiofam	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
413	Simazine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
414	Simetryn	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
415	Spinosyn A	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
416	Spinosyn D	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
417	Spirodiclofen	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
418	Spirodiclofen	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
419	Spiromesifen	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
420	Spirotetramat	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
421	Spiroxamine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
422	Sulfentrazone	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.02	Y
423	Sulfotep	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
424	Sulprofos	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
425	Tebuconazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
426	Tebufenozide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
427	Tebufenpyrad	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
428	Tecnazene	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	Y
429	Teflubenzuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
430	Tefluthrin	GC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
431	Terbufos	LC	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.025	N	0.05	N	0.05	N	0.05	N
432	Terbumeton	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
433	Terbuthylazine	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
434	Terbuthylazine-2-hydroxy	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
435	Terbuthylazine-desethyl	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
436	Terbutryn	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
437	Tetraconazole	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

	2019 Scope	Analysis Method	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals		Fats		Milk		Eggs		Infant Formula	
	Scope (mg/kg)		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc
438	Tetradifon	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
439	Tetramethrin	GC	0.02	Y	0.02	Y	0.005	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
440	TFNA	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
441	TFNG	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
442	Thiabendazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
443	Thiacloprid	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
444	Thiamethoxam	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
445	Thifensulfuron-methyl	LC	0.05	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
446	Thiobencarb	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
447	Thiodicarb	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
448	Thionazin	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
449	Thiophanate methyl	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
450	Thiophanate ethyl	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
451	Tolclofos-methyl	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
452	Tolyfluanid	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
453	Topramezezone	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
454	Triadimefon	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
455	Triadimenol	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
456	Tri-Allat	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
457	Triasulfuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
458	Triazophos	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
459	Trichlorfon	LC	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.02	N
460	Triclopyr	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
461	Tricyclazole	LC	0.01	N	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
462	Trifloxystrobin	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
463	Triflumizole	LC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
464	Triflumizole	GC	0.02	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.02	N	0.02	N	0.01	Y
465	Triflumuron	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y			0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
466	Trifluralin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
467	Triflusulfuron-methyl	LC	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	N
468	Triticonazole	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
469	Vamidothion	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
470	Vinclozolin	GC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.005	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y
471	Zoxamide	LC	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	Y	0.01	N	0.01	N	0.01	Y

Single Residue Methods Scope and Reporting Levels (mg/kg)

	Dithiocarbamates	Fruit & Veg.		IF/FOF		Cereals								
		RL	Acc	RL	Acc	RL	Acc							
	Dithiocarbamates	0.05	Y	0.05	Y	0.05	Y							

	<u>Glyphosate</u>	Fruit & Veg.		Cereals									
		RL	Acc	RL	Acc								
	AMPA	0.08	N	0.08	Y								
	Ethephon	0.05	N	0.04	Y								
	Glufosinate ammonium	0.08	N	0.08	Y								
	N-acetyl Glufosinate	0.08	N	0.08	Y								
	Glyphosate	0.08	N	0.08	Y								
<u>Quats</u>													
		RL	Acc	RL	Acc								
	Chlormequat	0.01	Y	0.02	Y								
	Cyromazine	0.02	Y	0.02	Y								
	Daminozide	0.01	Y	0.02	Y								
	Mepiquat	0.01	Y	0.02	Y								
	Paraquat	0.1	Y	0.05	Y								
<u>Amitraz</u>													
		RL	Acc	RL	Acc								
	Amitraz	0.01	Y	0.01	Y								
	DMF	0.01	Y	0.01	Y								
	DMPF	0.01	Y	0.01	Y								

Acc – accredited
Y – Yes, N - No
RL – reporting limit

9.2 ANNEX II Abbreviations

ADI	Acceptable Daily Intake
ARfD	Acute Reference Dose
BCP	Border Control Post
DAFM	Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine
EC	European Community
EU	European Union
FSAI	Food Safety Authority of Ireland
GAP	Good Agricultural Practice
LOQ	Limit of Quantitation
mg/kg	milligram per kilogram
MRL	Maximum Residue Level
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyl
PCD	Pesticide Controls Division
PRL	Pesticide Residues Laboratory
TC	Third Country

9.3 ANNEX III Glossary of terms

Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI)		<p>An ADI is an estimate of the amount of a residue in food or drinking water, expressed on a body weight basis that can be ingested daily over a lifetime without appreciable health risk.</p> <p>The particular vulnerability of infants, children, the elderly and those whose systems are under stress because of ill-health are taken into account through application of a safety factor when ADI values are established.</p> <p>ADI values are based on the no-adverse-effect level in the most sensitive animal species used in the toxicological experiments, or if appropriate data are available, in humans. Invariably, a safety factor to account for inter-species and intra-species variations is applied. Studies used as a basis for the identification of the relevant no-adverse-effect levels, and hence for deriving ADI values, are conducted using active substance as manufactured. Accordingly the toxicological effects of impurities present in active substances are included in the assessment. Account is also taken of metabolites that may influence the toxicological significance of the residue reaching the consumer.</p>
Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)		<p>An ARfD is similar in nature to an ADI but it relates to intake of residues at one meal or on one day.</p> <p>The particular vulnerability of infants, children, the elderly and those whose systems are under stress because of ill-health are taken into account through application of a safety factor when ARfD values are established.</p> <p>ARfD values are based on the no-adverse effect level in the most sensitive animal species used in the toxicological experimentation, or if appropriate data are available, in humans. ARfD values are derived from the results of those toxicological studies that are most relevant to short term exposure.</p>
Good Agricultural Practice (GAP)		<p>GAP in the use of a plant protection product (pesticide) includes authorised use under practical conditions necessary for effective control of harmful organisms. It encompasses a range of levels of application up to the highest level authorised, applied in a manner that leaves a residue that is the smallest amount practicable.</p>
Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)		<p>The LOQ is the lowest concentration of a pesticide residue or contaminant that can be identified and quantitatively measured in specified food, agricultural commodity or animal feed, with an acceptable degree of certainty by a method of analysis.</p>

Maximum Residue Level (MRL)	<p>MRL is the maximum concentration of a pesticide residue, expressed in milligrams per kilogram, legally permitted in or on food commodities and animal feeds. MRLs are based on supervised residues trials data that reflect Good Agricultural Practice (GAP). MRLs established for particular food commodities are such that potential consumer exposure to residues is judged to be toxicologically acceptable.</p> <p>MRLs are fixed at or about the limit of determination where there are no approved uses.</p> <p>MRLs are established on the basis of sound scientific knowledge. They are only established for those pesticides for which acceptable daily intake (ADI) values exist.</p>
Pesticide Residue	<p>Any trace of a pesticide found in a sample, including any specified derivatives such as degradation and conversion products, metabolites and impurities, which are considered to be of toxicological significance and are included in the residue definition.</p>

Results included in the above report were generated by the:

**Pesticide Residues Laboratory,
Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine Laboratories,
Backweston Campus,
Celbridge,
Co. Kildare.
Ireland.**

Telephone: (01) 615 7552
Email: pcs@agriculture.gov.ie

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

